



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/619,478	07/19/2000	Shunpei Yamazaki	0756-2187	1882	
22204	7590 03/18/2002				
NIXON PEABODY, LLP			EXAMINER		
8180 GREENSBORO DRIVE SUITE 800			ANYASO, UCHENDU O		
MCLEAN, V.	A 22102		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2675	<u> </u>	
			DATE MAILED: 03/18/2002	DATE MAILED: 03/18/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

W)O

W

Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/619,478

Applicant(s)

Art Unit

Examiner
Uchendu O. Anyaso

art Unit **2675**

Yamazaki et al



The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	s on the cover sheet with the correspondence address —
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SE THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute.	136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed by within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this e. cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
 Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 	ng date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any
S tatus 1)	000
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☒ This acti	ion is non-final.
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance exclosed in accordance with the practice under Expe	xcept for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is arte Quayle35 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims	
4) 🗓 Claim(s) <u>1-16</u>	is/are pending in the applica
4a) Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from conside
5)	is/are allowed.
6) 🔀 Claim(s) <u>1-16</u>	is/are rejected.
7)	is/are objected to.
8) Claims	are subject to restriction and/or election requir
Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/a	are objected to by the Examiner.
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on	
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 13) X Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority. All the Compatito Com	ority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).
a) ☑ All b) ☐ Some* c) ☐ None of: 1. ☑ Certified copies of the priority documents have	heen received
	been received in Application No
Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents of the certified copies of the priority documents of the application from the International Bureau *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the	cuments have been received in this National Stage a (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic p	
Attachment(s)	
15) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	18) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).
16) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	19) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
17) X Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s). 3, 5	20) Other:

Application/Control Number: 09/619,478

Art Unit: 2675

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-16 are pending in this action.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC ' 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

- (e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.
- 3. Claims 1, 2, 4-7 and 9-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Sawada (U.S. 6,078,317).

Regarding independent Claims 1, 6, 11 and 14, Sawada teaches a ferroelectric liquid crystal display (4) (column 3, lines 38-40, figure 1 at 4).

Furthermore, *Sawada* teaches an image signal processing circuit by teaching a <u>video</u> signal processor (2) and digital image processor (3) (column 3, lines 38-40, figure 1 at 2).

Also, Sawada teaches a control circuit in the form of a display mode dependence controller (17) for setting the gamma characteristic adjustment circuit (19) that is contained within the digital image processor (figure 1 at 2-4).

Furthermore, Sawada teaches that the gamma characteristic adjustment circuit (19) adjusts the characteristics included in the RGB image data in correspondence with the display panel (24) by utilizing a look-up table embedded within the gamma characteristic adjustment circuit (19) (column 4, lines 10-24, figure 1 at 19, 24).

Application/Control Number: 09/619,478

Art Unit: 2675

Regarding Claims 2, 5, 7, 10, 12, 13, 15 and 16, in further discussion of claims 1, 6, 11 and 14, *Sawada* teaches a ferroelectric liquid crystal display (4) for a computer display (*see* column 3, lines 38-40, figure 1 at 4, *see also* column 1, lines 1-19).

Regarding Claims 4 and 9, in further discussion of claims 1 and 6, Sawada teaches circuitry wherein the video signal processor and the digital image processor contain the A/D conversion circuit (13) and the gamma characteristic adjustment circuit (19) respectively (column 3, lines 61-67 through column 4, lines 10-24 figure 1 at 13, 19).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC ' 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 3 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sawada (U.S. 6,078,317) in view of Jeong (U.S. 6,008,801).

Regarding Claims 3 and 8, in further discussion of claims 1 and 6, Sawada teaches circuitry wherein the video signal processor and the digital image processor contain the A/D conversion circuit (13) and the gamma characteristic adjustment circuit (19) respectively (column 3, lines 61-67 through column 4, lines 10-24 figure 1 at 13, 19). However, Sawada does not teach a source driver circuit with a D/A conversion circuit. On the other hand, Jeong

1

teaches an invention related to a source driver for a thin film transistor liquid crystal display, which has a digital-to-analog converter (column 1, lines 10-14).

Thus, it would have been obvious for a person of ordinary skill in the art to combine Sawada and Jeong's inventions because while Sawada teaches circuitry wherein the video signal processor and the digital image processor contain the A/D conversion circuit (13) and the gamma characteristic adjustment circuit (19) respectively, Jeong teaches a source driver for a thin film transistor liquid crystal display which has a digital-to-analog converter. The motivation for combining these inventions would have been to reduce the power consumption of the source driver, and thus, reduce the power consumption of the liquid crystal device (column 4, lines 1-5).

Conclusion

- 6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
 - U.S. Patent 6,097,362 to Kim for a driver for a liquid crystal display.
- U.S. Patent 5,793,334 to *Koyama* for a system for correcting a display device and method of correcting the same.

Contact Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Uchendu O. Anyaso whose telephone number is (703) 306-5934. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Steve Saras, can be reached at (703) 305-9720.

Application/Control Number: 09/619,478

Art Unit: 2675

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(703) 872-9314 (for Technology Center 2600 only)

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington, VA, Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Technology Center 2600 Customer Service Office whose telephone number is (703) 306-0377.

Uchendu O. Anyaso

03/08/2002

STEVEN SARAS SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600